

Derivations and structure of the Lie algebras of Xu type¹

(appeared in *Manuscripta Math.*, **105** (2001), 483-500)

Yucai Su²

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200030, China

Abstract. We determine the derivation algebras and the isomorphism classes of a family of the simple Lie algebras introduced recently by Xu [*Manuscripta Math.*, **100**, 489–518 (1999)]. The structure space of these algebras is given explicitly.

1. Introduction

Xu [X] recently introduced two new families of infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras and a new family of infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras. These algebras are generalizations of the algebras introduced by Block [B] and Dokovic and Zhao [DZ].

In this paper, we shall determine the derivation algebras and the isomorphism classes of the second family of the simple Lie algebras introduced by Xu. The problems for the first family of the algebras are settled in [SZ].

Below we shall introduce the normalized form of these algebras. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0. All the vector spaces are assumed over $\mathbb{F}$. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers and by $\mathbb{N}$ the additive semigroup of numbers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Take an additive subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{F}^4$ such that

$$\sigma = (1, 0, 1, 0) \in \Gamma, \quad \Gamma \cap \mathbb{F}1_{[p]} \neq \{0\}, \quad \Gamma \cap (1_{[q]} + \mathbb{F}1_{[q+1]}) \neq \emptyset, \quad (1.1)$$

for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $q = 1, 3$, where here and below, we use the notation

$$a_{[p]} = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{p}{a}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^4 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{F}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (1.2)$$

An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^4$ will be denoted by $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. For $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$, pick $J_p = \{0\}$ or $\mathbb{N}$, and set $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times J_3 \times J_4$. Elements in J will be denoted by $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{A}_4(\Gamma, J)$ the semigroup algebra of $\Gamma \times J$ with a basis $\{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \mid (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma \times J\}$ and the algebraic operation $\cdot$ defined by:

$$x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \cdot x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}} = x^{\alpha + \beta, \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \mathbf{i}), (\beta, \mathbf{j}) \in \Gamma \times J. \quad (1.3)$$

Then $x^{0,0}$ is an identity element, which will be simply denoted by 1. For simplicity, we denote $x^\alpha = x^{\alpha, 0}$. For $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we define derivation ∂_p of $\mathcal{A}_4$ by

$$\partial_p(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}) = \alpha_p x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} + i_p x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i} - 1_{[p]}} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma \times J, \quad (1.4)$$

where we adopt the convention that if a notion is not defined but technically appears in an expression, we always treat it as zero; for instance, $x^{\alpha, -1_{[1]}} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

We define the following algebraic operation $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{A}_4(\Gamma, J)$:

$$[u, v] = x^{\sigma, 0}(\partial_1(u)\partial_2(v) - \partial_1(v)\partial_2(u)) + (u + \partial_3(u))\partial_4(v) - (v + \partial_3(v))\partial_4(u), \quad (1.5)$$

for $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_4$. Denote

$$\sigma_1 = -1_{[3]} = (0, 0, -1, 0), \quad \sigma_2 = 1_{[1]} - 2_{[3]} = (1, 0, -2, 0). \quad (1.6)$$

¹AMS Subject Classification-Primary: 17B40, 17B65, 17B70

Supported by a grant from Educational Department of China

²Email: ycsu@sjtu.edu.cn

We treat $x^{\sigma_i} = 0$ if $\sigma_i \notin \Gamma$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $x^{\sigma_1, 0}$ is in the center of $\mathcal{A}_4$. Form a quotient Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}_4 = \mathcal{B}_4(\Gamma, J) = \mathcal{A}_4/\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1}$, whose induced Lie bracket is still denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Theorem 1.1. *The Lie algebra $(\mathcal{B}_4, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is simple if $J \neq \{0\}$ or $\sigma_2 \notin \Gamma$. If $J = \{0\}$ and $\sigma_2 \in \Gamma$, then $\mathcal{B}_4^{(1)} = [\mathcal{B}_4, \mathcal{B}_4]$ is simple and $\mathcal{B}_4 = \mathcal{B}_4^{(1)} \oplus (\mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_1, 0} + \mathbb{F}x^{\sigma_2, 0})$.*

The above theorem was due to Xu [X] and the simple Lie algebras $\mathcal{B}_4^{(1)}$, which will now be simply denoted by $\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma, J)$, are the normalized form of the second family of the algebras constructed in [X]. We shall refer these algebras to as the *Lie algebras of Xu type*. We will denote the image of the element $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}$ still by $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}$. In particular, we have $x^{\sigma_1, 0} = 0$. We set $\Gamma^\# = \Gamma$ if $J \neq \{0\}$ and $\Gamma^\# = \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ otherwise, then $\mathcal{B}$ has a basis

$$B = \{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \mid (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma^\# \times J, (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \neq (\sigma_1, 0)\}. \quad (1.7)$$

In Section 2, we shall determine the derivations of $\mathcal{B}$, then in Section 3, we shall give the isomorphism classes and structure space of the Lie algebras of Xu type. Our main results are Theorems 2.1, 3.2, 3.3.

2. Derivations of $\mathcal{B}$

We shall determine the structure of the derivation algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}$.

Recall that a derivation d of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is a linear transformation on $\mathcal{B}$ such that $d([u, v]) = [d(u), v] + [u, d(v)]$ for $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$. Denote by $\text{Der } \mathcal{B}$ the space of the derivations of $\mathcal{B}$. It is well known that $\text{Der } \mathcal{B}$ forms a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator of linear transformations of $\mathcal{B}$, and $\text{ad}_\mathcal{B}$ is an ideal of $\text{Der } \mathcal{B}$. Elements in $\text{ad}_\mathcal{B}$ are called *inner derivations*, while elements in $\text{Der } \mathcal{B} \setminus \text{ad}_\mathcal{B}$ are called *outer derivations*.

We rewrite (1.5) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}, x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}}] &= (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2)x^{\alpha+\beta+\sigma, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}} + (\alpha_1j_2 - \beta_1i_2)x^{\alpha+\beta+\sigma, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-1_{[2]}} \\ &\quad + (i_1\beta_2 - j_1\alpha_2)x^{\alpha+\beta+\sigma, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-1_{[1]}} + (i_1j_2 - j_1i_2)x^{\alpha+\beta+\sigma, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-1_{[1]}-1_{[2]}} \\ &\quad + ((\alpha_3+1)\beta_4 - (\beta_3+1)\alpha_4)x^{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}} + ((\alpha_3+1)j_4 - (\beta_3+1)i_4)x^{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-1_{[4]}} \\ &\quad + (i_3\beta_4 - j_3\alpha_4)x^{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-1_{[3]}} + (i_3j_4 - j_3i_4)x^{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-1_{[3]}-1_{[4]}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for $(\alpha, \mathbf{i}), (\beta, \mathbf{j}) \in \Gamma^\# \times J$. For convenience, we fix four elements in Γ :

$$\tau_1 = -\sigma, \tau_2 = (0, a, -1, 0), \tau_3 = 0, \tau_4 = (0, 0, -1, b) \in \Gamma \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that by (1.1) there exist $a', b', c', d', e' \in F$ with $a', b', e' \neq 0$ such that

$$(a', 0, 0, 0), (0, b', 0, 0), (1, c', 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, d'), (0, 0, 0, e') \in \Gamma,$$

then $(0, kb' + c', -1, 0) = k(0, b', 0, 0) + (1, c', 0, 0) - \sigma \in \Gamma$ and $-(0, 0, 1, d') + k(0, 0, 0, e') = (0, 0, -1, d' + ke') \in \Gamma$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; in particular, by choosing k with $a = kb' + c' \neq 0$, $b = d' + ke' \neq 0$, it shows that such a, b exist.

Observing from (1.5), we see

$$\text{ad}_{x^{\tau_p}} = \begin{cases} -\partial_2 & \text{if } p = 1, \\ -a_2x^{\tau_2+\sigma}\partial_1 & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \partial_4 & \text{if } p = 3, \\ -a_4x^{\tau_4}(\partial_3 + 1) & \text{if } p = 4, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where in general, $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}\partial_p$ is the operator on $\mathcal{B}$: $(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}\partial_p)(x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}}) = x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}(\partial_p(x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}}))$, and $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}$ acts on $\mathcal{B}$ via the multiplication of $\mathcal{A}_4$.

Denote by $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F})$ the space of additive functions $\mu : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $\mu(\sigma) = 0$. For each $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F})$, one can define a linear transformation d_μ by

$$d_\mu(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}) = \mu(\alpha)x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma^\# \times J. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $\mu(\sigma) = 0$ it is straightforward to verify by (2.1) that $d_\mu \in \text{Der } \mathcal{B}$. We regard $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F})$ as a subspace of $\text{Der } \mathcal{B}$ by the embedding: $\mu \mapsto d_\mu$. Define ∂_{t_p} by

$$\partial_{t_p}(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}) = i_p x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}-1_{[p]}} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma^\# \times J \text{ and } p = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (2.5)$$

Then by (2.1), one can verify that ∂_{t_p} are derivations of $\mathcal{B}$ (of course, $\partial_{t_p} = 0$ if $J_p = \{0\}$). Assume that $\sigma_1 \in \Gamma$. Observe that for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$, if $J_p = \{0\}$, then $x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[p]}} \notin \mathcal{B}$ but $[x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[p]}}, \mathcal{B}] \subset \mathcal{B}$ and thus we can define the outer derivations (cf. (2.1))

$$d_p = \text{ad}_{x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[p]}}} |_B : x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}} \mapsto \begin{cases} \beta_2 x^{\beta+1_{[1]}, \mathbf{j}} + j_2 x^{\beta+1_{[1]}, \mathbf{j}-1_{[2]}} & \text{if } p = 1, \\ -\beta_1 x^{\beta+1_{[1]}, \mathbf{j}} - j_1 x^{\beta+1_{[1]}, \mathbf{j}-1_{[1]}} & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \beta_4 x^{\beta-1_{[3]}, \mathbf{j}} + j_4 x^{\beta-1_{[3]}, \mathbf{j}-1_{[4]}} & \text{if } p = 3, \\ -(\beta_3 + 1)x^{\beta-1_{[3]}, \mathbf{j}} - j_3 x^{\beta-1_{[3]}, \mathbf{j}-1_{[3]}} & \text{if } p = 4, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

i.e., $d_1 = x^{1_{[1]}} \partial_2$, $d_2 = -x^{1_{[1]}} \partial_1$, $d_3 = x^{-1_{[3]}} \partial_4$ and $d_4 = -x^{-1_{[3]}} (\partial_3 + 1)$. If $\sigma_1 \notin \Gamma$ or $J_p \neq \{0\}$, we set $d_p = 0$. If $J = \{0\}$ and $\sigma_2 \in \Gamma$, we can define another outer derivation

$$\bar{d}_2 = \text{ad}_{x^{\sigma_2}} |_B : x^\beta \mapsto \beta_2 x^{\beta+2_{[1]}-1_{[3]}} - \beta_4 x^{\beta+1_{[1]}-2_{[3]}}, \quad (2.7)$$

i.e., $\bar{d}_2 = x^{2_{[1]}-1_{[3]}} \partial_2 - x^{1_{[1]}-2_{[3]}} \partial_4$, and we set $\bar{d}_2 = 0$ if $J \neq \{0\}$ or $\sigma_2 \notin \Gamma$. Then we have

Theorem 2.1. *Der $\mathcal{B}$ is spanned by ad_B , $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F})$, ∂_{t_p} , d_p and $\bar{d}_2$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

Proof. Let $d \in \text{Der } \mathcal{B}$ and let D be the subspace of $\text{Der } \mathcal{B}$ spanned by the elements mentioned in the theorem. The proof of the theorem is equivalent to proving that $d \in D$. We shall prove that after a number steps in each of which d is replaced by $d - d'$ for some $d' \in D$ the 0 derivation is obtained and thus proving that $d \in D$. This will be done by a number of claims.

Claim 1. We can suppose $d(x^{-\sigma}) = d(1) = 0$.

Assume

$$d(x^{\tau_p}) = \sum_{(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in M_p} e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(p)} x^{\alpha + \tau_p, \mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2, 3, 4 \text{ and some } e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(p)} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $M_p = \{(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma \times J \mid e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(p)} \neq 0\}$ is a finite set. We set $e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(p)} = 0$ if $(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \notin M_p$.

First assume that $J_4 \neq \{0\}$. By induction on i_4 , we can write $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} = [1, u]$ for some $u \in \mathcal{B}$: if $\alpha_4 = 0$, then $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} = (i_4 + 1)^{-1} [1, x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}+1_{[4]}}]$, or otherwise, $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} - \alpha_4^{-1} [1, x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}] = \alpha_4^{-1} i_4 x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}-1_{[4]}}$, which by the inductive assumption can be written as $[1, v]$ for some $v \in \mathcal{B}$. By this, we can write $d(1) = [1, u]$ for some u , and so replacing d by $d - \text{ad}_u$ gives $d(1) = 0$.

Applying d to $[1, x^{\tau_p}] = 0$ for $p = 1, 2$, we obtain that $\partial_4(d(x^{\tau_p})) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\alpha_4 = i_4 = 0 \quad \text{if } e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(p)} \neq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

for $p = 1, 2$. Similarly, applying d to $[1, x^{\tau_4}] = bx^{\tau_4}$, we see that (2.9) also holds for $p = 4$. If $J_2 \neq \{0\}$, then similarly we can write $d(x^{-\sigma}) = [x^{-\sigma}, v]$ for some v . Since $\partial_4(d(x^{-\sigma})) = 0$, we can choose v to satisfy $\partial_4(v) = 0$. Thus if we replace d by $d - \text{ad}_v$, then we still have $d(1) = 0$, and we have $d(x^{-\sigma}) = 0$.

So suppose $J_2 = \{0\}$. Then each term $x^{\alpha+\tau_1, \mathbf{i}}$ with $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ appearing on the right-hand side of (2.8) for $p = 1$ can be written as $-\alpha_2^{-1}[x^{-\sigma}, x^{\alpha+\tau_1, \mathbf{i}}]$. Thus again we can replace d by $d - \text{ad}_v$ for some v which satisfies $\partial_4(v) = 0$, to obtain

$$\alpha_2 = i_2 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(p)} \neq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

holds for $p = 1$. Applying d to $[x^{-\sigma}, x^{\tau_2}] = -ax^{\tau_2}$, using (2.10), we see that $\alpha_2 = i_2 = 0$ if $e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(2)} \neq 0$, i.e., (2.10) holds for $p = 2$. Applying d to $[x^{-\sigma}, x^{\tau_4}] = 0$, we see that (2.10) holds for $p = 4$ also. By calculating the coefficients of $x^{\alpha+\tau_2, \mathbf{i}}$ in $[d(x^{-\sigma}), x^{\tau_2}] + [x^{-\sigma}, d(x^{\tau_2})] = -ad(x^{\tau_2})$, using (2.10), we obtain

$$(a(\alpha_1 - 1)e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(1)} + a(i_1 + 1)e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}+1_{[1]}}^{(1)}) - ae_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(2)} = -ae_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(2)}, \quad (2.11)$$

where, if $J_1 = \{0\}$, then the second term vanishes. By induction on i_1 ranging from $\max\{j_1 \mid (\alpha, \mathbf{j}) \in M_1\}$ down to zero, we obtain

$$e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(1)} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha_1 \neq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad i_1 \neq 0. \quad (2.12)$$

By calculating the coefficients of $x^{\alpha+\tau_4-\sigma, \mathbf{i}}$ in $d([x^{-\sigma}, x^{\tau_4}]) = 0$, using (2.10), we obtain

$$b\alpha_3 e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(1)} + b(i_3 + 1)e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}+1_{[3]}}^{(1)} = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

where, the second term is vanishing if $J_3 = \{0\}$. By induction on i_3 ranging from $\max\{j_3 \mid (\alpha, \mathbf{j}) \in M_1\}$ down to zero, we obtain

$$\alpha_3 = i_3 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(1)} \neq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

(2.9), (2.10), (2.12) and (2.14) show that if $e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(1)} \neq 0$, then $(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) = (1_{[1]}, 0)$, but then $e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(1)}$ is the coefficient of the term $x^{1_{[1]}-\sigma} = x^{\sigma_1} = 0$ (cf (2.8) and the statements before (1.7)). Thus we have $d(x^{-\sigma}) = 0$.

Next assume that $J_4 = \{0\}$. If $J_2 \neq \{0\}$, by interchanging positions between τ_1 and τ_3 in the above arguments, we can obtain the result as above. Thus suppose $J_2 = \{0\}$. As the statement before (2.10), we can assume that $\partial_2(d(x^{-\sigma})) = 0$. Similarly, we can assume that $\partial_4(d(1)) = 0$. Then as the proof above, we can obtain that (2.9), (2.10) hold for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then (2.11)-(2.14) also hold and so again we can assume that $d(x^{-\sigma}) = 0$. Similarly, by calculating the coefficients of $x^{\alpha+\tau_2, \mathbf{i}}$ in $d([1, x^{\tau_2}]) = 0$ and calculating the coefficients of $x^{\alpha+\tau_4, \mathbf{i}}$ in $d([1, x^{\tau_4}]) = bx^{\tau_4}$, we obtain that if $e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(3)} \neq 0$, then $(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) = (\sigma_1, 0)$ (which corresponds to the term $x^{\sigma_1} = 0$), and so we have $d(1) = d(x^{-\sigma}) = 0$. This proves Claim 1.

Claim 2. We can assume that $d(x^{\tau_p}) = 0$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

By Claim 1, we see that (2.9), (2.10) hold for $p = 2, 4$. For each term $x^{\alpha+\tau_2, \mathbf{i}}$ appearing in the right-hand side of (2.8), using $[x^{\tau_2}, x^{\alpha-\sigma, \mathbf{i}}] = -a(\alpha_1 - 1)x^{\alpha+\tau_2, \mathbf{i}} - ai_1x^{\alpha+\tau_2, \mathbf{i}-1_{[1]}}$, we see that one can replace d by $d - \text{ad}_u$ for some u , where u is a linear combination of some $x^{\alpha-\sigma, \mathbf{i}}$, so that u satisfies $\partial_2(u) = \partial_4(u) = 0$, to obtain (here we would like to remark that in case $J = \{0\}$, $\alpha = 2_{[1]} - 1_{[3]}$, then $\alpha - \sigma = \sigma_2 \notin \Gamma^\#$, but $\text{ad}_{x^{\alpha-\sigma}} = \bar{d}_2$ by (2.7), this is where we make use of $\bar{d}_2$),

$$\alpha_1 = 1, i_1 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(2)} \neq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

By calculating the coefficients of $x^{\alpha+\tau_2+\tau_4+\sigma, \mathbf{i}}$ in $d([x^{\tau_2}, x^{\tau_4}]) = 0$, using (2.15), we obtain

$$\alpha_1 = i_1 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(4)} \neq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Then, using $[x^{\tau_4}, x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}] = -b(\alpha_3 + 1)x^{\alpha + \tau_4, \mathbf{i}} - bi_3x^{\alpha + \tau_4, \mathbf{i} - 1_{[3]}}$, we see that one can replace d by $d - \text{ad}_u$ for some u which satisfies $\partial_1(u) = \partial_2(u) = \partial_4(u) = 0$, to obtain

$$\alpha_3 = -1, i_3 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(4)} \neq 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Then by $d([x^{\tau_2}, x^{\tau_4}]) = 0$, it gives

$$\alpha_3 = i_3 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad e_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(2)} \neq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Using (2.15)-(2.18), we see that M_2 or M_4 is either empty, or a singleton $\{(1_{[1]}, 0)\}$ or $\{(\sigma_1, 0)\}$, thus by replacing d by

$$d - a^{-1}e_{1_{[1]}, 0}^{(2)}\text{ad}_{x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[1]}}} - b^{-1}e_{\sigma_1, 0}^{(4)}\text{ad}_{x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[3]}}}, \quad (2.19)$$

where, the last two terms are either in $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{B}}$ or in $\mathbb{F}d_1 + \mathbb{F}d_3$ (cf. (2.6)), we obtain that $d(x^{\tau_p}) = 0$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$. This proves Claim 2.

Now for any $\beta \in \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, assume

$$d(x^\beta) = \sum_{(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in M_\beta} c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} x^{\alpha + \beta, \mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for some} \quad c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (2.20)$$

where $M_\beta = \{(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma \times J \mid c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} \neq 0\}$ is a finite set. Again we set $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} = 0$ if $(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \notin M_\beta$. By applying d to $[x^{-\sigma}, x^\beta] = -\beta_2 x^\beta, [1, x^\beta] = \beta_4 x^\beta$, by Claim 1, we obtain

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = i_2 = i_4 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} \neq 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Furthermore, if $\beta \in \Gamma$ with $\beta_1 = 0$, then by applying d to $[x^{\tau_2}, x^\beta] = 0$, we obtain

$$\alpha_1 = i_1 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in \Gamma \quad \text{with} \quad \beta_1 = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

By (1.1), we can fix an element $\gamma = (0, 0, c, 0) \in \Gamma$ with $c \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0, -1, -2\}$.

Claim 3. We can assume that $d(x^\gamma) = 0$.

If $c_{0,0}^{(\gamma)} \neq 0$, we can define an additive function $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F})$ satisfying that $\mu(\tau_p) = 0$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (cf. (2.2)) and that $\mu(\gamma) = c_{0,0}^{(\gamma)}$, as follows:

$$\mu : \alpha \mapsto c^{-1}c_{0,0}^{(\gamma)}(-\alpha_1 + a^{-1}\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + b^{-1}\alpha_4), \quad (2.23)$$

so if we replace d by $d - d_\mu$, $c_{0,0}^{(\gamma)}$ is vanishing. Since $d_\mu(x^{\tau_p}) = 0$, we still have Claim 2 after this replacement. Furthermore, if $\sigma_1 \in \Gamma$ and $c_{\sigma_1, 0}^{(\gamma)} \neq 0$, then we can replace d by $d + c_{\sigma_1, 0}^{(\gamma)}(c + 1)^{-1}\text{ad}_{x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[4]}}}$ so that $c_{\sigma_1, 0}^{(\gamma)}$ vanishes also. Noting that $[x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[4]}}, 1] = -x^{\sigma_1} = 0$ according to the statements before (1.7) and that $[x^{\sigma_1, 1_{[4]}}, x^{\tau_p}] = 0$ for $p = 1, 2, 4$, we still have Claim 2 after this replacement. Therefore we can suppose

$$c_{0,0}^{(\gamma)} = c_{\sigma_1, 0}^{(\gamma)} = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Let $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying d to $[x^{\gamma + j\tau_4}, x^{k\tau_4}] = b((c+1)k - j)x^{\gamma + (j+k)\tau_4}$, where, the coefficient $b((c+1)k - j) = (c - j + 1)kb - (-k + 1)jb$ is obtained from the 5th term of the right-hand side of (2.1), and by calculating the coefficients of $x^{\alpha + \gamma + (j+k)\tau_4, \mathbf{i}}$, using (2.21) and canceling the common factor b , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((c+1)k - j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\gamma + (j+k)\tau_4)} &= ((\alpha_3 + c + 1)k - j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\gamma + j\tau_4)} + (i_3 + 1)kc_{\alpha, \mathbf{i} + 1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma + j\tau_4)} \\ &\quad + ((c+1)k - j(\alpha_3 + 1))c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(k\tau_4)} - j(i_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i} + 1_{[3]}}^{(k\tau_4)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where, if $J_3 = \{0\}$, the second and the last terms of the right-hand side of (2.25) are zero. Assume that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\gamma)} \neq 0$ for some $(\alpha, \mathbf{i})$. Since we shall be only involved in the choices of j, k with $-3 \leq j, k, j+k \leq 3$, we can set

$$\mathbf{m} = \max \{ \mathbf{i} \mid c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)} \neq 0 \text{ or } c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(j\tau_4)} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j = -3, \dots, 3 \}. \quad (2.26)$$

Taking $j = 0, \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{m}$ in (2.25), and canceling the common factor k , we have

$$(c+1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma+k\tau_4)} = (\alpha_3 + c + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)} + (c+1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(k\tau_4)} \text{ if } k \neq 0. \quad (2.27)$$

Multiplying (2.25) by $c+1$ and using (2.27) to substitute the left-hand side and the first term of the right-hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k\alpha_3(\alpha_3 + c + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)} &= (c+1)((c+1)k - j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{((j+k)\tau_4)} \\ &\quad - ((\alpha_3 + c + 1)k - j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(j\tau_4)} - ((c+1)k - j(\alpha_3 + 1))c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(k\tau_4)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

if $j, k + j \neq 0$. Interchanging j and k , and adding the result to (2.28), canceling the common factor $j+k$, we obtain

$$\alpha_3(\alpha_3 + c + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)} = c(c+1)(c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{((j+k)\tau_4)} - c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(j\tau_4)} - c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(k\tau_4)}) \text{ if } j, k, j+k \neq 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Denote by c^* the left-hand side factored by $c(c+1)$, which does not depend on j, k . Noting that by Claim 2, $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\tau_4)} = 0$, using this in (2.29), we can solve

$$\alpha_3(\alpha_3 + c + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)} = c(c+1)c^*, \quad c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(k\tau_4)} = (k-1)c^* \text{ for } k \neq 0. \quad (2.30)$$

Using (2.30) in (2.28), by letting $j \neq k$, we obtain

$$(\alpha_3 + 1)c^* = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

Assume that $\alpha_3(\alpha_3 + 1)(\alpha_3 + c + 1) \neq 0$. (2.30), (2.31) show that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)} = c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(k\tau_4)} = 0$, and that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma+k\tau_4)} = 0$ by (2.27), this is contrary to (2.26). Thus this case does not occur.

Next assume that $\alpha_3 = 0$. Then (2.21), (2.22) show that $\alpha = 0$. Then (2.30) gives

$$c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(k\tau_4)} = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

If $\mathbf{m} = 0$, then the assumption that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\gamma)} \neq 0$ contradicts (2.24). So $\mathbf{m} \neq 0$. Then (2.21), (2.22) mean that $m_3 \neq 0$ and so $J_3 \neq \{0\}$. By (2.32), setting $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{m}$ in (2.25), we obtain that $((c+1)k - j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma+(j+k)\tau_4)} = ((c+1)k - j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)}$. Setting $j = 0$, this gives

$$c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma+k\tau_4)} = c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)}. \quad (2.33)$$

Thus the left-hand side does not depend on k . Taking $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{m} - 1_{[3]}$ in (2.25), using (2.32), (2.33), we obtain

$$((c+1)k - j)(c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+k)\tau_4)} - c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)} - c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(k\tau_4)}) = m_3 k c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)}. \quad (2.34)$$

Setting $k = 1$, noting that by Claim 2, $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\tau_4)} = 0$, we obtain

$$(c+1-j)(c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+1)\tau_4)} - c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)}) = m_3 c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)}, \quad (2.35)$$

$$(c-j)(c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+2)\tau_4)} - c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+1)\tau_4)}) = m_3 c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)}, \quad (2.36)$$

where (2.36) is obtained from (2.35) by replacing j by $j+1$. Multiplying (2.36) by $c+1-j$, multiplying (2.35) by $c-j$, adding the results to kill the term $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+1)\tau_4)}$, we obtain

$$(c-j)(c+1-j)(c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+2)\tau_4)} - c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)}) = m_3(2c+1-2j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)}. \quad (2.37)$$

Setting $k=2$ in (2.34), multiplying it by $(c-j)(c+1-j)$, multiplying (2.37) by $2(c+1)-j$, subtracting the obtained results from each other, we obtain

$$-(c-j)(c+1-j)(2(c+1)-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(2\tau_4)} = m_3((2c+3)j-2(c+1)^2)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)}. \quad (2.38)$$

The left-hand side is a polynomial on j of degree 3, while the right-hand side is of degree 1. This forces $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)} = 0$. This, together with (2.32), (2.33), contradicts (2.26). Thus this case does not occur either.

Next assume that $\alpha_3 = -1$. Then (2.21), (2.22) show that $\alpha = \sigma_1$. If $\mathbf{m} = 0$, then (2.24) contradicts the assumption that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\gamma)} \neq 0$. So $\mathbf{m} \neq 0$ and then $J_3 \neq \{0\}$. Using (2.30) in (2.27), we obtain

$$c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma+k\tau_4)} = (k-c-1)c^*. \quad (2.39)$$

Taking $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{m} - 1_{[3]}$ in (2.25), using (2.30), (2.39), we obtain

$$((c+1)k-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+k)\tau_4)} - (ck-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)} - (c+1)kc_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(k\tau_4)} = m_3(j-k(c+1))c^*, \quad (2.40)$$

for $k \neq 0$. Setting $k=1$, we obtain

$$(c+1-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+1)\tau_4)} - (c-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)} = m_3(j-(c+1))c^*, \quad (2.41)$$

$$(c-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+2)\tau_4)} - (c-j-1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+1)\tau_4)} = m_3(j-c)c^*, \quad (2.42)$$

where (2.42) is obtained from (2.41) by replacing j by $j+1$. Multiplying (2.41) by $c-j-1$ and (2.42) by $c+1-j$ and adding the results together, we obtain

$$(c+1-j)(c-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+2)\tau_4)} - (c-j-1)(c-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)} = m_3(-2(j-c)^2+j-c+1)c^*. \quad (2.43)$$

Setting $k=2$ in (2.40), we have

$$(2(c+1)-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+(j+2)\tau_4)} - (2c-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)} - 2(c+1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(2\tau_4)} = m_3(j-2(c+1))c^*. \quad (2.44)$$

Multiplying (2.43) by $-(2(c+1)-j)$ and (2.44) by $(c+1-j)(c-j)$ and adding the results together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (c-j)(c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(\gamma+j\tau_4)} + (c+1-j)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(2\tau_4)}) \\ & = -m_3(j-2(c+1))(j-c+1)(j-c-1)(2(c+1))^{-1}c^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

Multiplying (2.41) by $c-j-1$ and using (2.45) to substitute both terms of the left-hand side of the resulting expression, noting that the coefficient of $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}-1_{[3]}}^{(2\tau_4)}$ becomes vanishing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & m_3(j-c-1)((j-2c-1)(j-c+2)(j-c) \\ & + (c-j-1)(j-2c-2)(j-c+1))(2(c+1))^{-1}c^* = m_3(j-c-1)(c-j-1)c^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

This shows that $c^* = 0$, and so we again get a contradiction to (2.26).

Finally assume that $\alpha_3 = -c-1$. Then the first equation of (2.30) shows that $c^* = 0$, the second shows that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(k\tau_4)} = 0$, and (2.27) shows that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma+k\tau_4)} = 0$ for $k \neq 0$, and

then taking $k = -j \neq 0$ in (2.25) gives that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{m}}^{(\gamma)} = 0$, this is again contrary to (2.26). This shows that it is wrong to make the assumption that $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\gamma)} \neq 0$ for some $(\alpha, \mathbf{i})$. Thus $d(x^\gamma) = 0$. This proves Claim 3.

By the statement after (2.2), we can take $e = ka' - 1 \neq 0, \pm 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\eta = (e, 0, -1, 0) = k(a', 0, 0, 0) - \sigma \in \Gamma$. Fix such an η .

Applying d to $[x^\tau, x^\eta] = [x^\gamma, x^\eta] = 0, i = 1, 3, 4$, we obtain $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (i_2, i_3, i_4) = 0$ if $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\eta)} \neq 0$. If $\sigma_1 \in \Gamma$ and $c_{\sigma+\sigma_1, 0}^{(\eta)} \neq 0$, then we can replace d by $d + c_{\sigma+\sigma_1, 0}^{(\eta)} e^{-1} \text{ad}_x^{\sigma_1, 1[2]}$ (this does not affect Claims 1, 2, 3) so that $c_{\sigma+\sigma_1, 0}^{(\eta)}$ becomes zero. Now using similar arguments to those given after (2.24) in the proof of Claim 3, we can prove

$$\alpha = \mathbf{i} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\eta)} \neq 0. \quad (2.47)$$

In fact, if we use the new symbol $y^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}$ to denote $x^{-\alpha-\sigma, \mathbf{i}}$, then in case $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j} = 0$, (2.1) becomes $[y^\alpha, y^\beta] = ((\alpha_1 + 1)\beta_2 - (\beta_1 + 1)\alpha_2)y^{\alpha+\beta} + (\alpha_3\beta_4 - \beta_3\alpha_4)y^{\alpha+\beta+\sigma}$. This shows that there is a symmetry between η and γ .

Claim 4. We can suppose $d(x^\beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$.

Suppose $\beta_4 \neq 0$. Applying d to $[x^{\tau_4}, x^\beta] = -b(\beta_3 + 1)x^{\beta+\tau_4}, [x^\gamma, x^\beta] = (c + 1)\beta_4 x^{\beta+\gamma}$, using Claim 2, Claim 3, (2.21), canceling the common factors $-b$ and $(c + 1)\beta_4$, we obtain respectively

$$(\beta_3 + \alpha_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} + (i_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}+1[3]}^{(\beta)} = (\beta_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta+\tau_4)}, \quad (2.48)$$

$$c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} = c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta+\gamma)}. \quad (2.49)$$

Replacing β by $\beta + \gamma$ in (2.48), using (2.49), we have

$$(c + \beta_3 + \alpha_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} + (i_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}+1[3]}^{(\beta)} = (c + \beta_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta+\tau_4)}. \quad (2.50)$$

This together with (2.48) gives $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta+\tau_4)} = c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)}$, and then (2.48) becomes

$$\alpha_3 c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} + (i_3 + 1)c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}+1[3]}^{(\beta)} = 0. \quad (2.51)$$

As in (2.13), this shows

$$\alpha_3 = i_3 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} \neq 0. \quad (2.52)$$

If $\beta_4 = 0$, replacing β by $\beta - \tau_4$ in the arguments above, we still have (2.52). Similarly, using τ_2, η in placing of τ_4, γ in the above arguments, we can prove that $\alpha_1 = i_1 = 0$ if $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta)} \neq 0$. This and (2.52), (2.21) show that M_β is either empty or a singleton $\{(0, 0)\}$. Therefore, we can rewrite (2.20) as $d(x^\beta) = \mu(\beta)x^\beta$ by letting $\mu(\beta) = c_{0, 0}^{(\beta)}$. Using this, by setting $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j} = 0$ in (2.1) and applying d to it, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2)(\mu(\alpha) + \mu(\beta)) &= (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \beta_1\alpha_2)\mu(\alpha + \beta + \sigma), \\ ((\alpha_3 + 1)\beta_4 - (\beta_3 + 1)\alpha_4)(\mu(\alpha) + \mu(\beta)) &= ((\alpha_3 + 1)\beta_4 - (\beta_3 + 1)\alpha_4)\mu(\alpha + \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

From this, one can easily obtain that μ is an additive function such that $\mu(\sigma) = 0$. Thus $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F})$, and by replacing d by $d - d_\mu$, we have Claim 4. This also proves the theorem if $J = \{0\}$.

Claim 5. If $J \neq \{0\}$, then $d \in \sum_{p=1}^4 \mathbb{F} \partial_{t_p}$.

Say, $J_1 \neq \{0\}$. Assume

$$d(x^{\beta, 1[1]}) = \sum_{(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in M_{\beta, 1}} c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta, 1)} x^{\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{i}} \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \in \Gamma \quad \text{and some} \quad c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta, 1)} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad (2.54)$$

where $M_{\beta,1} = \{(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \in \Gamma \times J \mid c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta,1)} \neq 0\}$ is a finite set. Again we set $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}^{(\beta,1)} = 0$ if $(\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \notin M_{\beta,1}$. Denote $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \text{span}\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}\}$. Then by Claim 4, $d(\mathcal{B}^{(0)}) = 0$. Applying d to $[x^{-\sigma}, x^{\tau_2, 1[1]}] = -ax^{\tau_2, 1[1]}$ and $[x^{\tau_p}, x^{\tau_2, 1[1]}] \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ for $p = 2, 3, 4$, we obtain as before (for instance, (2.13)) that $M_{\tau_2,1} = \emptyset$ or a singleton $\{(0,0)\}$. Replacing d by $d - c_{0,0}^{(\tau_2,1)} \partial_{t_1}$, we can suppose $d(x^{\tau_2, 1[1]}) = 0$. Similarly, if $J_p \neq \{0\}$ for $p = 2, 3, 4$, by replacing d by $d - f \partial_{t_p}$ for some $f \in \mathbb{F}$, we can suppose $d(x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}) = 0$ if $p = 2$, or $d(x^{\tau_4, 1[3]}) = 0$ if $p = 3$, or $d(x^{\tau_4, 1[4]}) = 0$ if $p = 4$. Note that $\mathcal{B}$ is generated by $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} \cup (\mathcal{B} \cap \{x^{\tau_2, 1[1]}, x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}, x^{\tau_4, 1[3]}, x^{\tau_4, 1[4]}\})$. Since a derivation is determined by its actions on generators, we obtain $d(\mathcal{B}) = 0$. This proves Claim 5 and the theorem. $\blacksquare$

3. Structure of $\mathcal{B}$

Before stating the main result of this paper, we need one more lemma. First recall that a linear transformation T on a vector space V is *locally finite* if for any $u \in V$, the subspace

$$V_u = \text{span}\{T^n(u) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (3.1)$$

is finite dimensional; *locally nilpotent* if for any $u \in V$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^n(u) = 0$; *semi-simple* if for any $u \in V$, V_u has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T .

Lemma 3.1. *The sets of locally nilpotent, semi-simple and locally finite elements of $\text{Der } \mathcal{B}$ are respectively*

$$(\text{Der } \mathcal{B})_n = \sum_{p=1}^4 \mathbb{F} \partial_{t_p}, \quad (\text{Der } \mathcal{B})_s = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F}), \quad (\text{Der } \mathcal{B})_f = (\text{Der } \mathcal{B})_n + (\text{Der } \mathcal{B})_s. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $\text{ad}_{x^{-\sigma}}, \text{ad}_1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F}) + \sum_{p=1}^4 \mathbb{F} \partial_{t_p}$.

Proof. Note that a linear transformation on the vector space $\mathcal{B}$ of the form

$$T = \sum c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}, p} x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \partial_p + \sum c'_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} + \sum c_p \partial_{t_p}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}$ acts on $\mathcal{B}$ via the multiplication of $\mathcal{A}_4$, is locally finite if and only if

$$c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}, p} = c'_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \neq (0, 0). \quad (3.4)$$

See, for example, the proof of [SX, Lemma 4.1]. Observe from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}} &= x^{\alpha + \sigma, \mathbf{i}} (\alpha_1 \partial_2 - \alpha_2 \partial_1) + i_1 x^{\alpha + \sigma, \mathbf{i} - 1[1]} \partial_2 - i_2 x^{\alpha + \sigma, \mathbf{i} - 1[2]} \partial_1 \\ &\quad + x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} ((\alpha_3 + 1) \partial_4 - \alpha_4 (\partial_3 + 1)) + i_3 x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i} - 1[3]} \partial_4 - i_4 x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i} - 1[3]} (\partial_3 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

From this, one immediately sees that if $d = \sum c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \text{ad}_{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}} + \sum c_p \partial_{t_p} + d_\mu$, where $c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}, c_p \in F$ and d_μ is defined in (2.4) with $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{F})$ (recall that $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, \bar{d}_2$ has the form $\text{ad}_{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}}$, cf. (2.6), (2.7)) is locally finite if and only if

$$c_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } (\alpha, \mathbf{i}) \neq (0, 0), (-\sigma, 0). \quad (3.6)$$

From this, one can easily obtain the lemma. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 3.2. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\Gamma, J)$ and $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}(\Gamma', J')$ are isomorphic if and only if there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a_2, a_4 \neq 0$ and $a_1 = 0$ if $J_1 = \{0\} \neq J_2$ and $a_3 = 0$ if $J_3 = \{0\} \neq J_4$, such that either (i) $J = J'$ and the map*

$$\phi : \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) \mapsto \beta' = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 1 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix} = (\beta_1 + a_1 \beta_2, a_2 \beta_2, \beta_3 + a_3 \beta_4, a_4 \beta_4), \quad (3.7)$$

is a group isomorphism $\Gamma \cong \Gamma'$; or else (ii) $(J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4) = (J'_3, J'_4, J'_1, J'_2)$ and the map

$$\phi : \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) \mapsto \beta' = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_3 & a_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\beta_3 + a_3\beta_4, a_4\beta_4, \beta_1 + a_1\beta_2, a_2\beta_2), \quad (3.8)$$

is a group isomorphism $\Gamma \cong \Gamma'$.

Proof. We shall ALWAYS use the symbol y in place of x for the algebra $\mathcal{B}'$ and use the same notation with a prime to denote any other element associated with $\mathcal{B}'$.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”: For convenience, we denote $t_p = x^{0,1[p]}$ for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Recall (1.3), we have the commutative associative algebra structure $(\mathcal{A}_4, \cdot)$. We define two algebra structures $(\mathcal{A}_4, \odot_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_4, \odot_2)$ (which are not necessarily associative) by $u \odot_1 v = x^\sigma \partial_1(u) \partial_2(v)$ and $u \odot_2 v = (\partial_3(u) + u) \partial_4(v)$. Then we have $[u, v] = u \odot_1 v + u \odot_2 v - v \odot_1 u - v \odot_2 u$ and

$$x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \odot_1 x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}} = x^{\alpha + \beta + \sigma, \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} - 1[1] - 1[2]} (\alpha_1 t_1 + i_1) (\beta_2 t_2 + j_2) \quad \text{if } i_1 + j_1, i_2 + j_2 \geq 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Assume that we have case (i). Define a character of Γ , i.e., a multiplicative function $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\chi(\sigma) = a_2 a_4^{-1}$. We prove that such a character exists: suppose Δ is the maximal subgroup of Γ containing σ such that such character exists for Δ . If $\Delta \neq \Gamma$, choose $\beta \in \Gamma \setminus \Delta$. If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha = n\beta \in \Delta$, then we define $\chi(\beta) = \chi(\alpha)^{1/n}$, otherwise we set $\chi(\beta) = 1$. Then χ extends to a character on the group generated by Δ and β , which contradicts the maximality of Δ .

First suppose $a_1 = a_3 = 0$. We shall verify that

$$\psi : x^{\beta, \mathbf{i}} \mapsto a_4^{-1} \chi(\beta) y^{\beta'} (t'_1)^{i_1} (a_2 t'_2)^{i_2} (t'_3)^{i_3} (a_4 t'_4)^{i_4}, \quad \text{where } \beta' = \phi(\beta) \text{ (cf. (3.7))}, \quad (3.10)$$

is an isomorphism $(\mathcal{A}_4, \odot_1) \cong (\mathcal{A}'_4, \odot_1)$ (and symmetrically, it is also an isomorphism $(\mathcal{A}_4, \odot_2) \cong (\mathcal{A}'_4, \odot_2)$). Suppose $i_1 + j_1, i_2 + j_2 \geq 1$ (if otherwise, the verification is easier). Using (3.9), since $\phi(\alpha + \beta + \sigma) = \alpha' + \beta' + \sigma$ by (3.7), we have

$$\psi(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \odot_1 x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}}) = a_4^{-1} \chi(\alpha + \beta + \sigma) y^{\alpha' + \beta' + \sigma} u (\alpha_1 t'_1 + i_1) (\beta_2 a_2 t'_2 + j_2), \quad (3.11)$$

where $u = (t'_1)^{i_1 + j_1 - 1} (a_2 t'_2)^{i_2 + j_2 - 1} (t'_3)^{i_3 + j_3} (a_4 t'_4)^{i_4 + j_4}$, and

$$\psi(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}) \odot_1 \psi(x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}}) = a_4^{-2} \chi(\alpha) \chi(\beta) y^{\alpha' + \beta' + \sigma} u (\alpha'_1 t'_1 + i_1) (\beta'_2 a_2 t'_2 + a_2 j_2) \quad (3.12)$$

where the coefficient a_2 before j_2 is arisen from $\partial'_2((a_2 t'_2)^{j_2})$. Thus $\psi(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}) \odot_1 \psi(x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}}) = \psi(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \odot_1 x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}})$ is equivalent to $a_2 (\alpha'_1 t'_1 + i_1) (\beta'_2 a_2 t'_2 + j_2) = (\alpha'_1 t'_1 + i_1) (\beta'_2 a_2 t'_2 + a_2 j_2)$, which is obvious since $\alpha'_1 = \alpha_1, \beta'_2 = a_2 \beta_2$. Thus ψ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}'$.

Suppose $a_1 \neq 0$ or $a_3 \neq 0$. We define

$$\psi(x^{\beta, \mathbf{i}}) = a_4^{-1} \chi(\beta) y^{\beta'} (t'_1)^{i_1} (a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1)^{i_2} (t'_3)^{i_3} (a_4 t'_4 + a_3 t'_3)^{i_4}. \quad (3.13)$$

Note that if $a_1 \neq 0$ then $J_2 = \{0\}$ or $J_1, J_2 \neq \{0\}$ and if $a_3 \neq 0$ then $J_4 = \{0\}$ or $J_3, J_4 \neq \{0\}$, thus the right-hand side of (3.13) is in $\mathcal{B}'$. We claim that ψ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}'$ (but not necessarily an isomorphism $(\mathcal{A}, \odot_q) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', \odot_q)$ for $q = 1, 2$). If we define two Lie brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]_q$ by $[u, v]_q = u \odot_q v - v \odot_q u$ for $q = 1, 2$, then $[u, v] = [u, v]_1 + [u, v]_2$. We want to prove

$$[\psi(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}), \psi(x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}})]_q = \psi([x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}, x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}}]_q), \quad (3.14)$$

for $q = 1, 2$. Again suppose $i_1 + j_1, i_2 + j_2 \geq 1$, and suppose $q = 1$ (the proof for $q = 2$ is similar). First we calculate $\psi(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \odot_1 x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}})$, which is the term

$$a_4^{-1} y^{\alpha' + \beta' + \sigma} (t'_1)^{i_1 + j_1 - 1} (a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1)^{i_2 + j_2 - 2} (t'_3)^{i_3 + j_3} (a_4 t'_4 + a_3 t'_3)^{i_4 + j_4}, \quad (3.15)$$

where if $i_2 + j_2 - 2 < 0$ the corresponding factor does not appear, multiplied by the term

$$\chi(\alpha + \beta + \sigma)(\alpha_1 t'_1 + i_1)(a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1)(\beta_2(a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1) + j_2). \quad (3.16)$$

Similarly, $\psi(x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}}) \odot_1 \psi(x^{\beta, \mathbf{j}})$ is (3.15) multiplied by

$$a_4^{-1} \chi(\alpha) \chi(\beta) (\beta'_2(a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1) + a_2 j_2) ((\alpha'_1 t'_1 + i_1)(a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1) + a_1 i_2 t'_1), \quad (3.17)$$

where the factor $(\beta'_2(a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1) + a_2 j_2)$ is arisen from $\partial'_2(y^{\beta'}(a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1)^{j_2})$ and the last factor is arisen from $\partial'_1(y^{\alpha'}(t'_1)^{i_1}(a_2 t'_2 + a_1 t'_1)^{i_2})$. If we denote by $D(\alpha, \beta)$ the difference between (3.16) and (3.17), then (3.14) is equivalent to $D(\alpha, \beta) - D(\beta, \alpha) = 0$, which is straightforward to verify.

Assume that we have case (ii). We define a new Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{J})$ by taking

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma} &= \{\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha'_3, \alpha'_4, \alpha'_1, \alpha'_2) \mid \alpha' = (\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3, \alpha'_4) \in \Gamma'\}, \\ \tilde{J} &= \{\tilde{\mathbf{i}} = (i'_3, i'_4, i'_1, i'_2) \mid \mathbf{i}' = (i'_1, i'_2, i'_3, i'_4) \in J'\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\psi}(y^{\alpha', \mathbf{i}'}) = z^{-\tilde{\alpha} - \sigma, \tilde{\mathbf{i}}}, \quad (3.19)$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism, where the symbol z is the symbol x for the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. So, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{B} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, but using definition (3.18), case (ii) for the pair of algebras $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ becomes case (i) for the pair of algebras $(\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}})$.

“ $\Rightarrow$ ”: Suppose $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ is an isomorphism. Then ψ induces an isomorphism $\psi : \text{Der} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Der} \mathcal{B}'$, which maps $(\text{Der} \mathcal{B})_{\mathbf{n}}, (\text{Der} \mathcal{B})_{\mathbf{s}}, (\text{Der} \mathcal{B})_{\mathbf{f}}$ respectively to $(\text{Der} \mathcal{B}')_{\mathbf{n}}, (\text{Der} \mathcal{B}')_{\mathbf{s}}, (\text{Der} \mathcal{B}')_{\mathbf{f}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{f}}$ the set of *ad*-semi-simple, *ad*-locally finite elements of $\mathcal{B}$ respectively, then by Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbb{F} + \mathbb{F}x^{-\sigma}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi(-x^{-\sigma}) \\ \psi(1) \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} -y^{-\sigma} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } G = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2, \quad (3.20)$$

where in general, GL_n is the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices over $\mathbb{F}$ and where, we put minus sign before $x^{-\sigma}$ for later convenient use. Note that

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid [\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{f}}, u] = 0\} = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \mid \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = i_2 = i_4 = 0 \text{ or } \alpha = \sigma_1, |\mathbf{i}| = 1\}, \quad (3.21)$$

where $|\mathbf{i}| = \sum_{p=1}^4 i_p$ is the *level* of $\mathbf{i}$. Denote the subalgebra in (3.21) by $\mathcal{B}^*$. Then we have $\psi(\mathcal{B}^*) = \mathcal{B}'^*$. Observe that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \Leftrightarrow (J_2, J_4) = (\{0\}, \{0\})$ and that $\mathcal{B}^*$ is not abelian $\Leftrightarrow (J_2, J_4) \neq (\{0\}, \{0\})$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (J_2, J_4) = (\{0\}, \{0\}) &\Leftrightarrow (J'_2, J'_4) = (\{0\}, \{0\}), \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma \in \Gamma &\Leftrightarrow \sigma \in \Gamma' \text{ if } (J_2, J_4) \neq (\{0\}, \{0\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

If $(J_2, J_4) \neq (\{0\}, \{0\})$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$, we redenote the *derived subalgebra* $[\mathcal{B}^*, \mathcal{B}^*]$ of $\mathcal{B}^*$ by $\mathcal{B}^*$. Thus in any case,

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \mid \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = i_2 = i_4 = 0\}, \quad \text{and we have } \psi(\mathcal{B}^*) = \mathcal{B}'^*. \quad (3.23)$$

Note that $J = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow (\text{Der} \mathcal{B})_{\mathbf{n}} = \{0\}$ and note that

$$\{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid \partial(u) = 0 \text{ for all } \partial \in (\text{Der} \mathcal{B})_{\mathbf{n}}\} = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{i} = 0 \text{ or } \alpha = \sigma_1, |\mathbf{i}| = 1\}. \quad (3.24)$$

If we denote the derived subalgebra of (3.24) by $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$, then

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \text{span}\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}\}, \quad (3.25)$$

is the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma, \{0\})$ (cf. Theorem 1.1), and we have

$$J = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow J' = \{0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\mathcal{B}^{(0)}) = \mathcal{B}'^{(0)}. \quad (3.26)$$

We shall study a feature of $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ analogous to that of the Lie algebras introduced by Zhao [Z]. To do this, take $\Gamma_{24} = \{(0, \alpha_2, 0, \alpha_4) \mid (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \in \Gamma\}$ to be the image of Γ under the natural projection $\pi_{24} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}1_{[2]} + \mathbb{F}1_{[4]}$. Take $\Gamma_{13} = \{\alpha \in \Gamma \mid \pi_{24}(\alpha) = 0\}$, the kernel of π_{24} . Then by (2.1),

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Gamma_{24}} \mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)} \quad \text{is } \Gamma_{24}\text{-graded with } \mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)} = \text{span}\{x^\alpha \mid \pi_{24}(\alpha) = \lambda\}, \quad (3.27)$$

and $\mathcal{B}_0^{(0)} = \mathcal{B}^{(0)} \cap \mathcal{B}^*$ and $\psi(\mathcal{B}_0^{(0)}) = \mathcal{B}'_0^{(0)}$ by (3.23), (3.26), and there is a bijection $\lambda \mapsto \lambda'$ from $\Gamma_{24} \rightarrow \Gamma'_{24}$ such that $\psi(\mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)}) = \mathcal{B}'_{\lambda'}^{(0)}$ since $(\cup_{\lambda \in \Gamma_{24}} \mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)}) \setminus \{0\}$ is the set of common eigenvectors of $\text{ad}_{x^{-\sigma}}$ and ad_1 .

Claim 1. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)}$ is a cyclic $\mathcal{B}_0^{(0)}$ -module (i.e., generated by one element), the nonzero scalar multiples of x^α for all $\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ with $\pi_{24}(\alpha) = \lambda$ are the only generators.

For any $u = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x^{\mu_i}$, where $m > 1, c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, $\pi_{24}(\mu_i) = \lambda$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$ are distinct, it is straightforward to verify that $\text{span}\{\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x^{\alpha + \mu_i} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma_{13}\}$ is a proper $\mathcal{B}_0^{(0)}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)}$, and that it contains $\langle u \rangle$, where in general, we use $\langle u \rangle$ to denote the cyclic $\mathcal{B}_0^{(0)}$ -submodule generated by u . Thus u is not a generator of $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)}$ as a $\mathcal{B}_0^{(0)}$ -module.

Let $\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ with $\pi_{24}(\alpha) = \lambda$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Say $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ (the proof for $\lambda_4 \neq 0$ is similar). Choose $\eta = (e, 0, -1, 0) \in \Gamma, e \neq 0, \pm 1$ as in the paragraph before (2.47), then $\eta_k = k\eta + (k-1)\sigma = (ke + k - 1, 0, -1, 0) \in \Gamma \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (except possibly one k), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x^{\eta_k}, x^\alpha] &= (ke + k - 1)\alpha_2 x^{\alpha + k\eta + k\sigma} \in \langle x^\alpha \rangle \quad \text{and} \\ [x^{\beta - k\eta - (k+1)\sigma}, x^{\alpha + k\eta + k\sigma}] &= (\beta_1 - ke - k - 1)\alpha_2 x^{\alpha + \beta} + \beta_3 \alpha_4 x^{\alpha + \beta - \sigma} \in \langle x^\alpha \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (except possibly two k 's) and all $\beta \in \Gamma_{13}$. This proves that $\text{span}\{x^{\alpha + \beta} \mid \beta \in \Gamma_{13}\}$ is contained in $\langle x^\alpha \rangle$. Since $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)} = \text{span}\{x^{\alpha + \beta} \mid \beta \in \Gamma_{13}\}$, we obtain that x^α is a generator of $\mathcal{B}_\lambda^{(0)}$ as a $\mathcal{B}_0^{(0)}$ -module.

By Claim 1, there exists a bijection $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \alpha'$ from $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13} \rightarrow \Gamma' \setminus \Gamma'_{13}$ such that

$$\psi(x^\alpha) = c_\alpha y^{\alpha'} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13} \quad \text{and some } c_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.29)$$

Using this and (3.20), we have

$$c_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_4 \end{pmatrix} y^{\alpha'} = c_\alpha G \begin{pmatrix} [-y^{-\sigma}, y^{\alpha'}] \\ [1, y^{\alpha'}] \end{pmatrix} = c_\alpha G \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_2 \\ \alpha'_4 \end{pmatrix} y^{\alpha'}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_4 \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_2 \\ \alpha'_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.30)$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}$. Let τ_2, τ_4 be as in (2.2), and write $\phi(\tau_p) = \tau'_p = (\tau'_{p1}, \tau'_{p2}, \tau'_{p3}, \tau'_{p4})$ for $p = 2, 4$. Then applying ψ to $\alpha_1 a x^{\alpha + \tau_2 + \sigma} = [x^\alpha, x^{\tau_2}]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 a c_{\alpha + \tau_2 + \sigma} y^{\phi(\alpha + \tau_2 + \sigma)} &= c_\alpha c_{\tau_2} (\alpha'_1 \tau'_{22} - \tau'_{21} \alpha'_2) y^{\alpha' + \tau'_2 + \sigma} \\ &\quad + c_\alpha c_{\tau_2} ((\alpha'_3 + 1) \tau'_{24} - (\tau'_{23} + 1) \alpha'_4) y^{\alpha' + \tau'_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

for $\alpha, \alpha + \tau_2 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{1,3}$. The left-hand side has only one term, thus one of two terms in the right-hand side must be zero for all such α . This shows that $\tau'_{22} = \tau'_{21} = 0$ or $\tau'_{24} = \tau'_{23} + 1 = 0$. If necessary, by considering the isomorphism $\tilde{\phi} \cdot \psi : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ instead of ψ , where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{\psi}$ are defined in (3.18), (3.19), we can suppose

$$\tau'_{24} = \tau'_{23} + 1 = 0. \quad (3.32)$$

By this and (3.30), we have $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} \tau'_{22} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \tau'_{22} \\ b_3 \tau'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, to give that $b_3 = 0$. Similarly, from $(\alpha_3 + 1)bx^{\alpha+\tau_4} = [x^\alpha, x^{\tau_4}]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_3 + 1)bc_{\alpha+\tau_4}y^{\phi(\alpha+\tau_4)} &= c_\alpha c_{\tau_4}((\alpha'_1 \tau'_{42} - \tau'_{41} \alpha'_2)y^{\alpha'+\tau'_4+\sigma} \\ &\quad + ((\alpha'_3 + 1)\tau'_{44} - (\tau'_{43} + 1)\alpha'_4)y^{\alpha'+\tau'_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

for $\alpha, \alpha + \tau_4 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{1,3}$, so $\tau'_{42} = \tau'_{41} = 0$ or $\tau'_{44} = \tau'_{43} + 1 = 0$. But from $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} \tau'_{42} \\ \tau'_{44} \end{pmatrix}$, we see that $\tau'_{44} \neq 0$, thus

$$\tau'_{42} = \tau'_{41} = 0 \quad \text{and so} \quad b_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.34)$$

Suppose $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}$, applying ψ to (2.1) with $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j} = 0$, using (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \beta_1 \alpha_2)c_{\alpha+\beta+\sigma}y^{\phi(\alpha+\beta+\sigma)} &+ ((\alpha_3 + 1)\beta_4 - (\beta_3 + 1)\alpha_4)c_{\alpha+\beta}y^{\phi(\alpha+\beta)} \\ &- (\alpha'_1 \beta_2 - \beta'_1 \alpha_2)b_1^{-1}c_\alpha c_\beta y^{\alpha'+\beta'+\sigma} - ((\alpha'_3 + 1)\beta_4 - (\beta'_3 + 1)\alpha_4)b_4^{-1}c_\alpha c_\beta y^{\alpha'+\beta'} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

This in particular implies that $\phi(\alpha + \beta) = \alpha' + \beta'$ or $\alpha' + \beta' + \sigma$ for ALL $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}$ such that $(\alpha_3 + 1)\beta_4 - (\beta_3 + 1)\alpha_4 \neq 0$. From this one can deduce that $\phi(\alpha + \beta) = \alpha' + \beta'$ for $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}$ as follows: (3.33) shows that $\phi(\alpha + \tau_4) = \alpha' + \tau'_4$, setting $\beta = \alpha + \tau_4$ in (3.35) shows that $\phi(2\alpha) = 2\alpha'$ by noting that the first, third terms are vanishing; write $\phi(\alpha + \beta) = \alpha' + \beta' + k_{\alpha,\beta}\sigma$, where $k_{\alpha,\beta} = 0, 1$; if $\phi(\alpha + \beta) = \alpha' + \beta' + \sigma$ for some α, β , then $2\alpha' + 2\beta' + k_{2\alpha,2\beta}\sigma = \phi(2\alpha) + \phi(2\beta) + k_{2\alpha,2\beta}\sigma = \phi(2\alpha + 2\beta) = \phi((\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha + \beta)) = 2(\alpha' + \beta' + \sigma) + k_{\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta}\sigma$, and $k_{2\alpha,2\beta} = 2 + k_{\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta} \geq 2$, a contradiction. Thus, ϕ can be uniquely extended to a group isomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$. Using this and applying ψ to $[x^\alpha, x^{-\alpha-\sigma}] = \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 x^{-\sigma}$, by (3.20), (3.34), we obtain $\alpha_2 b_4 - \alpha_4 b_1 y^{-\sigma} = c_\alpha c_{-\alpha-\sigma}(\alpha'_2 - \alpha'_4 y^{-\sigma}) = c_\alpha c_{-\alpha-\sigma}(\alpha_2 b_1^{-1} - \alpha_4 b_4^{-1} y^{-\sigma})$, i.e.,

$$c_\alpha c_{-\alpha-\sigma} = b_1 b_4 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}. \quad (3.36)$$

Comparing the coefficients of $y^{\alpha'+\beta'}, y^{\alpha'+\beta'+\sigma}$ in (3.35), we obtain

$$((\alpha_3 + 1)\beta_4 - (\beta_3 + 1)\alpha_4)c_{\alpha+\beta} = ((\alpha'_3 + 1)\beta_4 - (\beta'_3 + 1)\alpha_4)b_4^{-1}c_\alpha c_\beta, \quad (3.37)$$

$$(\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \beta_1 \alpha_2)c_{\alpha+\beta+\sigma} = (\alpha'_1 \beta_2 - \beta'_1 \alpha_2)b_1^{-1}c_\alpha c_\beta, \quad (3.38)$$

$$(\alpha_3 \beta_4 - \beta_3 \alpha_4)c_{-\alpha-\beta-2\sigma} = (\alpha'_3 \beta_4 - \beta'_3 \alpha_4)b_4^{-1}c_{-\alpha-\sigma}c_{-\beta-\sigma}, \quad (3.39)$$

$$((\alpha_1 + 1)\beta_2 - (\beta_1 + 1)\alpha_2)c_{-\alpha-\beta-\sigma} = ((\alpha'_1 + 1)\beta_2 - (\beta'_1 + 1)\alpha_2)b_1^{-1}c_{-\alpha-\sigma}c_{-\beta-\sigma}, \quad (3.40)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}$, where the last two equations are obtained from the first two by replacing α, β by $-\alpha - \sigma, -\beta - \sigma$ respectively. Setting $\alpha = \tau_2 = (0, a, -1, 0)$ in (3.38), (3.39) and multiplying the obtained two equations, using (3.36), (3.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 a \beta_4 &= -(\tau'_{21} \beta_2 - \beta'_1 a) \beta_4, \\ -(\beta_3 + 1) b \beta_2 &= ((\tau'_{43} + 1) \beta_4 - (\beta'_3 + 1) b) \beta_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

for all $\beta, \beta + \tau_2, \beta + \tau_4 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}$, where the second equation is obtained analogously from (3.37), (3.40) and by setting $\alpha = \tau_4 = (0, 0, -1, b)$ and using (3.34). Now if we take

$$a_1 = a^{-1} \tau'_{21}, \quad a_2 = b_1^{-1}, \quad a_3 = b^{-1} (\tau'_{43} + 1), \quad a_4 = b_4^{-1}, \quad (3.42)$$

(note that τ'_{21}, τ'_{43} are fixed number since τ_2, τ_4 are fixed), then by (3.41), (3.30), (3.34), we see that (3.7) holds for $\beta, \beta + \tau_2, \beta + \tau_4 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_{13}$ and $\beta_2 \neq 0 \neq \beta_4$. Since ϕ is a group isomorphism, we obtain that (3.7) holds for all $\beta \in \Gamma$. This proves the theorem if $J = \{0\}$.

Assume that $J \neq \{0\}$. Since $\psi(x^{-\sigma}) \in \mathbb{F}y^{-\sigma}$ and $\text{ad}_{x^{-\sigma}}$ is semi-simple $\Leftrightarrow J_2 = \{0\}$, thus we obtain that $J_2 = J'_2$. Similarly, $J_4 = J'_4$. Assume that $J_1 \neq \{0\} = J'_1$. Then we can find $t_1 = x^{0,1[1]} \in \mathcal{B}^*$ (cf. (3.23)) with $[t_1, x^{\tau_2}] = ax^{\tau_2+\sigma}$, but we can not find $u' \in \mathcal{B}'^*$ with $[u', y^{\tau'_2}] = y^{\tau'_2+\sigma}$ because for any $y^{\alpha', i'} \in \mathcal{B}'^*$, $[y^{\alpha', i'}, y^{\tau'_2}] = \alpha'_1 \tau'_{22} y^{\tau'_2+\alpha'+\sigma, i'}$ can not produce a nonzero term $y^{\tau'_2+\sigma}$. This is a contradiction. Thus $J_1 = J'_1$. Similarly $J_3 = J'_3$. This proves $J = J'$.

It remains to prove that $a_1 = 0$ if $J_1 = \{0\} \neq J_2$ (the proof for $a_3 = 0$ if $J_3 = \{0\} \neq J_4$ is similar). Consider $\psi(x^{\tau_2, 1[2]})$. Since $\partial_p(x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}) = [u, x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}] = 0$ for $p = 1, 4$, $u = 1, x^{\tau_2}, x^{\tau_4}$ and $\partial_q(x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}) \pmod{\mathbb{F}x^{\tau_2}}$ is equal to a scalar multiple of $x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}$ for $q = 2, 3$, we obtain that $\psi(x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}) \in \mathcal{B}'^{(0)} + \mathbb{F}y^{\tau'_2, 1[2]}$. Write $\psi(x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}) = u' + a'_0 y^{\tau'_2, 1[2]}$ for some $u' \in \mathcal{B}'^{(0)}$ and $a'_0 \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, then $0 = \psi([x^{\tau_2}, x^{\tau_2, 1[2]}]) = c_{\tau_2}([y^{\tau'_2}, u'] + a'_0 \tau'_{21} y^{2\tau'_2+\sigma})$, but there does not exists $u' \in \mathcal{B}'^{(0)}$ such that $[u', y^{\tau'_2}] = y^{2\tau'_2+\sigma}$. Thus $\tau'_{21} = 0$ and (3.42) gives that $a_1 = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\blacksquare$

For $n > 0$, denote by $M_{n \times n}$ the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}$ and by GL_n the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}$. Let $M = \{\vec{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \mid m_p = 0, 1 \text{ for } p = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, corresponding to 16 possible choices of J . For $\vec{m} \in M$, let $G_{\vec{m}}$ be the subgroup of GL_4 generated by all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{either } B = C = 0 \text{ and } A, D \text{ have the form } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ or else} \\ &(m_1, m_2) = (m_3, m_4), A = D = 0 \text{ and } B, C \text{ have the form } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

such that $a_2, a_4 \neq 0$ and $a_1 = 0$ if $m_1 = 0 \neq m_2$ and $a_3 = 0$ if $m_3 = 0 \neq m_4$.

Define an action of $G_{\vec{m}}$ on $\mathbb{F}^4$ by $g(\alpha) = \alpha g^{-1}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^4, g \in G_{\vec{m}}$. For any additive subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{F}^4$ and $g \in G_{\vec{m}}$, the set $g(\Gamma) = \{g(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ also forms an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}^4$. Denote by Ω the set of subgroups Γ of $\mathbb{F}^4$ satisfying (1.1). Denote $\Omega_{\vec{m}} = \{g(\Gamma) \in \Omega \mid g \in G_{\vec{m}}\}$ and set $\overline{\Omega}_{\vec{m}} = \Omega / \Omega_{\vec{m}}$, the quotient set of Ω by $\Omega_{\vec{m}}$. Then Theorem 3.2 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between the set of the isomorphism classes of the simple Lie algebras $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma, J)$ of Xu type and the following set*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, \omega) \mid \vec{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \in M, \omega \in \overline{\Omega}_{\vec{m}}\}. \quad (3.44)$$

In other word, $\mathcal{M}$ is the structure space of the simple Lie algebras $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma, J)$ of Xu type. $\blacksquare$

References

- [B] Block, R.: On torsion-free abelian groups and Lie algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**, 613-620 (1958)
- [DZ] Dokovic, D. and Zhao, K.: Derivations, isomorphisms and second cohomology of generalized Block algebras, Alg. Colloq. **3**, 245-272 (1996)
- [SX] Su, Y. and Xu, X.: Structure of divergence-free Lie algebras, J.Alg. **243** (2001), 557-595.
- [SZ] Su, Y. and Zhou, J.: Structure of the Lie algebras with a feature of Block algebras, Comm. Alg. **30** (2002), 3205-3226.
- [X] Xu, X.: Generalizations of Block algebras, Manuscripta Math. **100**, 489-518 (1999)
- [Z] Zhao, K.: A Class of infinite dimensional simple Lie algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62**, 71-84 (2000)